

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/505,783 02/17/2000 Tadao Inoue 122.1393 6995 21171 7590 01/12/2004 **EXAMINER** STAAS & HALSEY LLP SEDIGHIAN, REZA **SUITE 700** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 2633 DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Office Action Summary	09/505,783	INOUE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. R. Sedighian	2633
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 N	lovember 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,20 and 22-35</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,20 and 22-35</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fire 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language principle.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). t of the certified copies not receive tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196 rest sentence of the specification of	tion No red in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/505,783

Art Unit: 2633

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 20, and 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takauji et al. (US Patent No: 6,292,284).

Regarding claims 1, 22, 26, 30-32, and 34-35, Takauji discloses a light output control circuit (col. 15, lines 1-38 and fig. 5), comprising: a photodetector (PD, 51, fig. 5) which detects the light output of a light emitting device (LD, 10, fig. 5) to thereby provide a light output detection value (col. 15, lines 53-60); a comparator (61, fig. 5) which compares the light output detection value (V_{mon}, fig. 5) with a reference value (V_{ref}, fig. 5) to thereby provide a comparison result (col. 16, lines 3-6); a light output control device (60, fig. 5) which performs discrete control actions to control the light output of the light emitting device in accordance with the comparison result (col. 15, lines 61-67); and a switching circuit (92, 62, fig. 5) which counts the number of control actions performed by the light output control device (col. 16, lines 3-31), and which instructs the light output control device (60, 63, 30, fig. 5) to perform control in accordance with a power-up mode (col. 18, lines 44-50, note that the light output power of laser diode 10 is raised until the monitoring voltage V_{mon} coincides with V_{ref}) until the number of control actions after starting control reaches a predetermined value (col. 18, lines 61-67, col. 19,

Application/Control Number: 09/505,783

Art Unit: 2633

lines 1-4, note that when V_{mon} coincides with V_{ref} or when stability occurs the counting operation terminates). Takauji differs from the claimed invention in that Takauji does not specifically disclose performing the control in accordance with a steady-state mode after the number of control actions has reached the predetermined value. Takauji teaches the counter 62 performs counting until the monitoring voltage V_{mon} and the reference voltage V_{ref} nearly coincide with each other and the stability results (col. 18, lines 52-67), the counting operation terminates (col. 19, lines 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the light output power control circuitries such as the one of Takauji can perform control in accordance with a steady-state mode when the number of control actions reaches a predetermined value to provide an automatic light power control and to provide a stabilized light output. As to claims 22 and 26, Takauji teaches the counter increases or decreases a count value (col. 16, lines 19-25). As to claim 34 and 35, regarding the count operation to increase or decrease the count value by a first and a second amount, Takauii teaches a count value can be determined by an up-and-down counter circuit 62, wherein a count value can be counted up, or it can be counted down (col. 16, lines 16-25). It would have been obvious that by counting up or counting down, a first and a second amount can be reached and for example, the second amount can be smaller than the first amount such that an stable operation can be reached.

Regarding claims 20, 23, 27, and 33, as to a coarse and fine light output control, Takauji teaches raising the light output power of the laser 10 until the monitoring voltage V_{mon} and the reference voltage V_{ref} nearly coincide (col. 18, lines 51-67, col. 19, lines 1-4).

Art Unit: 2633

Accordingly, when raising the light output power occurs, a coarse mode of operation, and when stability has been reached, a fine mode of operation results.

Regarding claims 24-25 and 28-29, Takauji teaches the light emitting device (10, fig. 5) is a laser diode (col. 15, line 5).

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 22, 26, 30, 31, 32, 34, and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (703) 308-9063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703) 305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

M.R. SEDIGHJAN Patent Examiner

At Unit: 2633